

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST N	NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/845,947	04/30/2001		Jack T. Burnett		066101.0198	3321
75	90	02/24/2005			EXAM	INER
Thomas R. Felger					PHILLIPS, HASSAN A	
Baker Botts L. I Suite 600					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2001 Ross Avei	nue				2151	,
Dallas, TX 75	201-298	0	•		DATÉ MAILED: 02/24/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7' 1
	09/845,947	BURNETT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	. Art Unit	
	Hassan Phillips	2151	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addres	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR is after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 136(a). In no event, however, may a sply within the statutory minimum of the dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC the, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed inty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this commun IBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	August 2001.	•	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.	•	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	rance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the me	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice under			·
		. •	ļ
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			•
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner .		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 April 2001</u> is/are:	a.l⊠ accepted or h.l⊟ obi	ected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn			121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action of form 1.0.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority docume 		•	. ,
Certified copies of the priority docume			
Copies of the certified copies of the present the present	riority documents have bee	n received in this National Sta	ge .
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies no	ot received.	
	•		
Attachment(s)	·		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		v Summary (PTO-413)	• •
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	C	o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	₂₁
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/lipaper No(s)/Mail Date	08) 5)		•7

Art Unit: 2151

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- The spacing of the lines of the specification is such as to make reading and entry of amendments difficult. New application papers with lines double spaced on good quality paper are required.
- 2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Objections

1. Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: There is a minor grammatical error in line 11. The examiner feels the word "more" should be placed between the words "or" and "content" in line 11. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2151

(e) The invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 2. Claims 1-5, 7, 10-17, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Simonoff, U.S. patent 6,463,460.
- 3. In considering claims 1, 7, Simonoff teaches a system and method for presenting a virtual event through a network interfaced with the Internet, the system comprising:
 - a) An ASP administration module having authority to grant plural organizations access to the network, (col. 8, lines 26-44);
 - b) An organization administration module associated with each organization,
 the organization administration module having authority to create plural
 virtual events, (col. 25, lines 3-13);
 - c) An event champion module associated with each virtual event and operable to establish a virtual event architecture that accepts content for the virtual event from contributors, (col. 9, lines 65-67, col. 10, lines 1-11); and
 - d) A contributor module interfaced with the network and operable to upload content from plural predetermined contributors to a virtual event based

Art Unit: 2151 ,

upon authority granted to the contributor from the event champion module, (col. 11, lines 24-43).

- 4. In considering claim 2, Simonoff further teaches an attendee module associated with each virtual event, the attendee module operable to authorize attendees to view virtual event content. See col. 18, lines 25-31.
- 5. In considering claim 3, it is inherent in the teachings of Simonoff that a member system module establishes membership for users to interface with the network. See col. 14, lines 64-67, col. 15, lines 1-18.
- 6. In considering claim 4, it is inherent in the teachings of Simonoff that the attendee module interfaces users with the member system module if the users are not members of the network. See col. 14, lines 64-67, col. 15, lines 1-18.
- 7. In considering claim 5, Simonoff teaches a rapid event generator associated with the event champion module, the rapid event generator operable to present an event champion with one or more templates that define the architecture of a virtual event. See col. 6, lines 1-67, col. 7, lines 1-6.
- 8. In considering claim 10, Simonoff teaches identifying one or more sponsors of the virtual event. See col. 17, lines 22-38.

Art Unit: 2151

9. In considering claim 11, Simonoff teaches identifying one or more exhibitors of the virtual event. See col. 17, lines 22-38.

10. In considering claim 12, Simonoff teaches:

- a) Previewing by the event champion of content loaded to the virtual event, (col. 9, lines 65-67, col. 10, lines 1-11); and
- b) Authorizing the previewed content by the event champion for presentation in the virtual event, (col. 18, lines 25-31).
- 11. In considering claim 13, Simonoff teaches the content comprising a video presentation. See col. 6, lines 1-11.
- 12. In considering claim 14, Simonoff teaches a system for presenting a virtual event through a network interfaced with the Internet, the system comprising:
 - a) A rapid event generator accessible by an event champion and operable to define an architecture of the virtual event based on authorizations of a network administrator for an organization to access the network and an organization administrator associated with the authorized organization for the event champion to create the virtual event, (col. 6, lines 1-67, col. 7, lines 1-6).

Art Unit: 2151

13. In considering claim 15, Simonoff teaches a content contributor module associated with the network and operable to upload predetermined content authorized by the event champion. See col. 11, lines 24-43.

14. In considering claim 16, it is inherent in the teachings of Simonoff that the organization administrator module authorizes a virtual event by creating an event instance. See col. 25, lines 3-13.

15. In considering claim 17, Simonoff teaches the rapid event generator comprising an object operable to accept the event instance created by the organization administrator and further operable to generate a virtual event object from the event instance and data provided by the event champion. See col. 6, lines 1-67, col. 7, lines 1-6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 6, 8, 9, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Simonoff in view of Estrada et al. (hereinafter Estrada), U.S. patent 6,732,148.

Art Unit: 2151

3. In considering claim 6, although the disclosed invention of Simonoff teaches substantial features of the claimed invention, it fails to expressly show:

a) Defining the number of attendees, content type, and duration of the virtual event.

Nevertheless, in a similar field of endeavor, Estrada teaches a system for collaboration space object modeling comprising:

a) An object defining the number of members of a group, content type, and the duration of a virtual event, (col. 11, lines 64-67, col. 12, lines 1-14. Also see col. 32, lines 21-32).

Thus, given the teachings of Estrada, it would have been apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Simonoff to show the rapid event generator defining the number of attendees, the type of content, and the duration of the virtual event. This would have given the attendees more insight to how many other attendees are interested in the same type content, what exactly the content is, and how long an event will take place, Estrada, col. 5, lines 51-67, col. 6, lines 1-9.

- 4. In considering claim 8, Simonoff further teaches:
- a) Authorizing predetermined attendees to access the virtual event through the Internet, (col. 18, lines 25-31).

Although the disclosed invention of Simonoff teaches substantial features of the claimed invention, it fails to expressly show:

Art Unit: 2151

b) Accessing the event during a predetermined time period.

Nevertheless, accessing events during predetermined time periods were well known in the art at the time of the present invention. Estrada exemplifies this in a system comprising:

b) Accessing an object in a calendar during a predetermined time period, (col. 32, lines 21-32).

Thus, given the teachings of Estrada, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Simonoff to show accessing the event during a predetermined time period. This would have given multiple attendees the chance to view the event at the specified predetermined time period, and thus would have given all attendees the same opportunity to perform a function in response to the event, Estrada, col. 5, lines 51-67, col. 6, lines 1-9.

5. In considering claim 9, it is implicit in the teachings of Simonoff that membership to a network is established for an attendee before authorizing access by that attendee to the virtual event. See col. 14, lines 64-67, col. 15, lines 1-18.

Conclusion -

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Simonoff, U.S. Patent 6,463,460 discloses an interactive communication system for collaboration between multiple users.

Art Unit: 2151

Estrada et al., U.S. Patent 6,732,148 discloses a system for collaboration space object modeling.

Sonnenreich et al., U.S. Patent 5,974,446 discloses a system for distance learning.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hassan Phillips whose telephone number is (703) 305-8760. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zami Maung can be reached on (703) 308-6687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HP/ 7/26/04 ZARNIMAÚŃG PRIMÁRY EXAMINER

Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. O9/845,947 Examiner Hassan Phillips Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination BURNETT ET AL. Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-6,463,460 b1	10-2002	Simonoff, Adam J.	709/203
	В	US-6,732,148 b1	05-2004	Estrada et al.	709/204
	C	US-5,974,446 a	10-1999	Sonnenreich et al.	709/204
	D	US-6,693,661 B1	02-2004	Vanderwilt et al.	709/204
	Ė	US-			
	F	US-		·	
	G	US-			
	н	US-			
	1	US-			
	J	US-			
	К	US-			·
-	L	US-			
	м	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0				`	
	Ρ					
	Q			·		
	R					
	S					
	T					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*			Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	υ	· ·	
	٧.		
	w	·	
	x .		•

"A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(e).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-892 (Rev. 01-2001)

Notice of References Cited

Part of Paper No. 20010430

BEST AVAILABLE COPY